

SECRET

Stat 5

DCI/IC-75-3985

1 December 1975

MEMORANDUM FOR: D/DCI/IC

THROUGH : C/PRD/IC

SUBJECT : Handling of [ ] Economic  
Intelligence Study

1. I have read the November 1975 version of the study, "ECONOMIC INTELLIGENCE FOR NATIONAL SECURITY--Production, Collection, Consumers and Problems," originally prepared by [ ]

2. In my view, this paper is too good not to use it as the basis for seeking action on some of the problems which it cites.

3. I suggest the following:

a. The paper go forward to the DCI as an ICS paper. [ ] was hired to do it, and he has been paid. The study has been revised somewhat, as I understand it, within PRD. It would be of little value to put the paper out as a "contractor study" concerning which we figuratively had "washed our hands" by declining to accept responsibility for it. The choice, as I see it, is to bury the paper or put it out under our imprimatur, and I favor the latter--even though I accept the idea that the paper is likely to prove controversial.

b. Before its release, however, the paper should be revised somewhat, i.e., the executive summary should include a listing of specific recommendations for follow-on action, and Part I should be reworked to make them more specific as to who should be charged to do what about what. The following are only samples:

[ ]

SECRET

STAT

SECRET



25X1

c. Part II is rather sketchy, but I think it serves its purpose, and the same goes for Part III. It is Part I which is the guts of the paper, so it is on Part I that careful attention needs to be focused to insure that it clearly points the way to what ICS considers needs to be done.

4. I understand that Ed Proctor may consider the paper as "not timely," but I do not agree. The kind of problems described in Part I call for some attention. Admittedly, the Congressional Select Committees are looking warily at some NSA operations, but that should not preclude the Intelligence Community from putting forward proposals on what it considers needs to be done to put the economic intelligence picture in better order.



25X1

Major General, USAF (Ret.)  
Chief, Coordination Staff, ICS

SECRET

Approved For Release 2004/05/05 : CIA-RDP80M01133A000600020003-8

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION PROMPTLY			
UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	X	SECRET
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP			
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	Gen Wilson		
2			
3	Subj: [ ]		
4			
5			
6			
X	ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY
	APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION
	COMMENT	FILE	RETURN
	CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNATURE
Remarks:			
<p>Sir:</p> <p>Attached for your signature is the transmittal letter for the subject study, which will be bound in report. Fyi I'm enclosing the Executive Summary, the distribn list, and the penultimate version of the paper (now being retyped for classification notation and pagination).</p> <p>[ ] has ok'd publication, after discussing paper with [ ] Moreover, the text has been ok'd by NSA (Messrs [ ]) and it is consistent with the guidance provided by [ ] including omission of what used to be issue B. It goes to printer on Wed.</p>			
FROM: [ ]		IN TO SENDER	
		PHONE NO.	DATE
			11/25/75
Approved For Release 2004/05/05 : CIA-RDP80M01133A000600020003-8			
UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	X	SECRET

UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	X	SECRET
--------------	--------------	---	--------



### OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP

TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	C/PRD/ICS	1 Dec '75	[Signature]
2			
3	D/DCI/IC		
4			
5			
6			

ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY
APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION
COMMENT	FILE	RETURN
CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNATURE

**Remarks:**

*I concur with Jach's recommendation in # 3.a. Also agree that the paper needs some revision if it does go forward as an IC Staff effort.*



FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER

FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.	DATE
C/CS/ICS	1 Dec. 75
UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL
X	SECRET

25X1

25X1